

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/608,123	PAGEL, MARTIN J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carl Colin	2136	

All Participants:

(1) Carl Colin.

(2) Stephen G. Sullivan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to Applicant's Representative, Stephen G. Sullivan, to amend independent claim 28 to explicitly recite in the limitation steps that the method is performed by the PGD, in order to place the application in better condition for allowance. Authorization was given to the Examiner by Applicant's Representative to amend claim 28 by Examiner's amendment.